arXiv:1512.02442v1 [math.RT] 8 Dec 2015

HOMOLOGICAL EMBEDDINGS FOR PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRAS

FREDERIK MARKS

ABSTRACT. For a fixed finite dimensional algebra A, we study representation embeddings of the form $mod(B) \to mod(A)$. Such an embedding is called homological, if it induces an isomorphism on all Ext-groups and weakly homological, if only Ext^1 is preserved. In case A is a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type, we give an explicit classification of all weakly homological and homological embeddings. Furthermore, we show that for self-injective algebras a classification of homological embeddings becomes accessible once these algebras fulfil the Tachikawa conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

One way to better understand the representation theory of a given finite dimensional algebra A is to study embeddings of module categories $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ where B is another finite dimensional algebra. However, a classification of such embeddings is usually too much to ask for. In this article, we focus on studying fully faithful exact functors $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ that yield isomorphisms either on only the first Ext-groups or, more generally, on all of them. These functors will be called (weakly) homological embeddings. Our main result provides a combinatorial classification of all weakly homological embeddings for preprojective algebras of Dynkin type. Furthermore, we describe explicitly which of these embeddings are homological.

Preprojective algebras of Dynkin type were first introduced and studied in [GP] and they play an important role in modern representation theory, with applications to geometry, Lie theory and cluster algebras (compare, for example, [BKT, CB, GLS, R]). Generally, preprojective algebras are of wild representation type. However, it was shown in [AM] and [Mi] that in the context of (generalised) tilting theory classification results can not only be obtained, but are, in fact, discrete. Here, an important role is played by the Weyl group associated to the graph defining the preprojective algebra. Following this philosophy, we prove the following result.

Theorem A (Theorem 4.2)
Let $A = A_Q$ be a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between

(1) *elements of the Weyl group W_Q;*
(2) *equivalence classes of weakly homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$.*

Moreover, if $F_w : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is a weakly homological embedding corresponding to an element w in W_Q, then the algebra B is Morita equivalent to A/I_v for some idempotent ideal I_v associated to an element v in W_Q with $l(wv) = l(w) + l(v)$. In particular, B is Morita equivalent to a direct product of preprojective algebras of Dynkin type.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E30, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. homological embedding, preprojective algebra, self-injective algebra.

The author is grateful to Martin Kalck for helpful discussions and for explaining some of the results in [K]. Moreover, the author thanks Julian Külshammer and Jorge Vitória for useful comments on an earlier version of this text.

In order to better understand homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ for a given finite dimensional and self-injective algebra A, it is helpful to know if A fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture, i.e., if every finite dimensional A-module X with $Ext^i_A(X,X) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ is already projective. In this case, a classification of homological embeddings becomes accessible and it is linked to the study of certain triangulated subcategories of the stable module category $\underline{mod}(A)$ (Proposition 5.3). In this context, we show that blocks of group algebras do not admit any non-trivial homological embeddings (Theorem 5.6). Finally, we complement Theorem A by obtaining a classification of the homological embeddings for preprojective algebras of Dynkin type.

Theorem B (Theorem 6.2 and Example 6.1)
Let A be a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type and $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ be a homological embedding that is neither zero nor an equivalence. Then A is of type $\mathcal{A}_n$ ($1 \text{——} 2 \text{——} \dots \text{——} n$) for $n \geq 2$ and the algebra B is Morita equivalent to $\mathbb{K}$. In fact, for each $n \geq 2$ there are precisely two such choices for F, up to equivalence, which correspond to the Weyl group elements $s_{n-1}(s_{n-2}s_{n-1})\cdots(s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1})$ and $s_n(s_{n-1}s_n)\cdots(s_2s_3\cdots s_n)$, respectively.

Such classification results are relevant to better understand the structure of the derived category of all A-modules (see Remark 5.5). In fact, every homological embedding $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ gives rise to a fully faithful functor between the associated derived categories and, moreover, to a recollement of triangulated categories (see [GL] and [P]).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we fix some necessary notation. Section 3 is dedicated to the notion of (weakly) homological embedding and discusses possible ways of classifying them (Proposition 3.2). In Section 4, we introduce preprojective algebras of Dynkin type and prove Theorem A. Section 5 deals with the general situation of studying homological embeddings for self-injective algebras and how this problem is related to the Tachikawa conjecture. Finally, Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem B.

2. NOTATION

Throughout, A will be a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$. The category of all finite dimensional left A-modules is denoted by $mod(A)$. All subcategories $\mathcal{C}$ of $mod(A)$ are considered to be full. A subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $mod(A)$ is said to be **functorially finite**, if every A-module admits a left and a right $\mathcal{C}$-approximation, i.e., for every $X \in mod(A)$ there are objects $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $g_1 : X \to C_1$ and $g_2 : C_2 \to X$ such that the maps $Hom_A(g_1, \tilde{C})$ and $Hom_A(\tilde{C}, g_2)$ are surjective for all $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}$. A subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $mod(A)$ is called **wide**, if it is closed for kernels, cokernels and extensions in $mod(A)$ and it is said to be a **torsion class**, if it is closed for quotients and extensions. Moreover, for an A-module X, we denote by $add(X)$ the subcategory of $mod(A)$ consisting of all direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of X and by $gen(X)$ (respectively, $sub(X)$) the subcategory of $mod(A)$ containing all quotients (respectively, all submodules) of finite direct sums of copies of X.

Let $\underline{mod}(A)$ be the **stable module category** defined to be the quotient of $mod(A)$ by the ideal generated by all the homomorphisms that factor through a projective module. By π_A we denote the canonical quotient functor $mod(A) \to \underline{mod}(A)$. It is well-known that in case A is self-injective, $\underline{mod}(A)$ carries the structure of a triangulated category with shift functor Ω^{-1} (compare [H]), where Ω denotes the usual syzygy. Also recall that the Auslander-Reiten translate τ, the Nakayama

functor ν and the syzygy functor Ω induce autoequivalences on $\underline{mod}(A)$ related by the natural isomorphisms of functors $\tau \cong \Omega^2\nu \cong \nu\Omega^2$ and $\tau^{-1} \cong \Omega^{-2}\nu^{-1} \cong \nu^{-1}\Omega^{-2}$ ([SY, IV., Theorem 8.5]).

3. Homological embeddings

The definition of homological embedding for abelian categories is due to [P].

Definition 3.1. Let A and B be finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-algebras. A fully faithful and exact functor $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is called a **(weakly) homological embedding**, if F induces an isomorphism

$$Ext^i_B(X,Y) \cong Ext^i_A(F(X),F(Y))$$

for all $i > 0$ (respectively, for $i = 1$) and for all B-modules X and Y. Two (weakly) homological embeddings $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ and $F' : mod(B') \to mod(A)$ are said to be **equivalent**, if there is an equivalence of categories $G : mod(B) \to mod(B')$ making the following diagram commute



In particular, B is Morita equivalent to B' and the essential image of F, denoted by $Im(F)$, coincides with the essential image of F'.

In this article, we are interested in classifying, up to equivalence, all possible (weakly) homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ for a fixed algebra A. Note that without the assumption of having at least an isomorphism on the first Ext-groups this is an almost hopeless task. For example, if A is a strictly wild algebra, then for every finite dimensional algebra B there is a fully faithful exact functor $mod(B) \to mod(A)$. However, the additional assumption of the functor being (weakly) homological will make classification results accessible.

Recall that a ring homomorphism $A \to B$ between two finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-algebras A and B is called a **ring epimorphism**, if it is an epimorphism in the category of rings. Moreover, two ring epimorphisms $f : A \to B$ and $f' : A \to B'$ are said to be **equivalent**, if there is a (unique) isomorphism of algebras $g : B' \to B$ making the following diagram commute



The next result is helpful to classify equivalence classes of (weakly) homological embeddings.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. There are 1-1 correspondences between*

(1) *equivalence classes of weakly homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$;*
(2) *functorially finite wide subcategories of $mod(A)$;*
(3) *equivalence classes of ring epimorphisms $A \to B$ with $Tor^A_1(B,B) = 0$.*

Moreover, the above correspondence $(1) \leftrightarrow (3)$ restricts to a bijection between

(1') *equivalence classes of homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$;*
(3') *equivalence classes of ring epimorphisms $A \to B$ with $Tor^A_i(B,B) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. The assignments for the first three bijections are as follows:

Bijection	Assignment
$(1) \to (2)$	$F \mapsto Im(F)$
$(2) \to (3)$	$W \mapsto (A \to End_A^{op}(C))$ with $A \to C$ minimal left W-approximation
$(3) \to (1)$	$(A \to B) \mapsto$ restriction functor $mod(B) \to mod(A)$

Clearly, the essential image of a weakly homological embedding yields a functorially finite wide subcategory of $mod(A)$ and this assignment is injective. The bijection $(2) \to (3)$ is given by [I, Theorem 1.6.1(2)] using that W being extension-closed translates to the vanishing condition on Tor_1^A (compare [Sch, Theorem 4.8]). The inverse $(3) \to (2)$ factors through (1) by mapping a ring epimorphism $A \to B$ to the essential image of its restriction functor $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$, where F is well-known to be fully faithful and exact. In particular, the assignment $(1) \to (2)$ is surjective. Finally, the correspondence $(1') \leftrightarrow (3')$ follows from above, now using [GL, Theorem 4.4]. □

This proposition shows that equivalence classes of (weakly) homological embeddings always have a *good* representative, namely the restriction functor of an associated ring epimorphism. The following remark collects some easy observations on (weakly) homological embeddings.

Remark 3.3.

(1) If A is hereditary, then every weakly homological embedding $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is already homological. In particular, the algebra B is hereditary.
(2) If A is local, then every weakly homological embedding $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is trivial, i.e., F is either zero or an equivalence. This follows from the fact that $F \neq 0$ already implies, using top-to-socle factorisation, that the unique simple A-module S lies in $Im(F)$.
(3) If $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is a weakly homological embedding, the following are equivalent.
   (a) $Im(F)$ is closed for subobjects;
   (b) $Im(F)$ is closed for quotients;
   (c) F is equivalent to the restriction functor $mod(A/I) \to mod(A)$ for some $I = I^2 \lhd A$.

   The only non-trivial implication is $(a), (b) \Rightarrow (c)$ which follows from Proposition 3.2 using [M, Lemma 3.1].

4. Weakly homological embeddings for preprojective algebras

In this section, we fix A to be a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type. Let us recall the definition. First, take a Dynkin quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ of type $\mathcal{A}_n (n \geq 1)$, $\mathcal{D}_n (n \geq 4)$, $\mathcal{E}_6, \mathcal{E}_7$ or $\mathcal{E}_8$ and denote by $\overline{Q}$ its double quiver obtained by adding an arrow $\alpha^* : j \to i$ for each arrow $\alpha : i \to j$ in Q_1. The **preprojective algebra** $A = A_Q$ associated to Q is given by the quotient of the path algebra $\mathbb{K}\overline{Q}$ by the ideal I generated by

$$\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} (\alpha\alpha^* - \alpha^*\alpha).$$

Since the quiver Q is Dynkin, the algebra A is finite dimensional and self-injective. Moreover, the stable module category $\underline{mod}(A)$ has Calabi-Yau dimension 2, i.e., there is a natural isomorphism of functors $\nu \cong \Omega^{-3}$ (see [ES]). In particular, it follows that $\tau \cong \Omega^{-1}$ and that we have a natural isomorphism $Ext_A^1(M,N) \cong DExt_A^1(N,M)$ for all A-modules M, N, where $D := Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(-, \mathbb{K})$.

Now let Q be a Dynkin quiver with $Q_0 = \{1, ..., n\}$. The Weyl group W_Q associated to Q is defined by the generators $s_1, ..., s_n$ and the relations

- $s_i^2 = 1$;
- $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$, if there is no edge connecting i and j;
- $s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j$, if there is an edge connecting i and j.

We say that an expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ is **reduced**, if p is minimal among all such presentations of w in W_Q. We call $l(w) := p$ the **length** of w. Moreover, to every generator s_i of the Weyl group we associate an ideal $I_i := A(1-e_i)A$ of the preprojective algebra $A = A_Q$, where e_i denotes the primitive idempotent corresponding to vertex i. The following result will be crucial in our context.

Theorem 4.1 ([AIR, Theorem 2.7], [Mi, Theorem 2.21])**.** *Let $A = A_Q$ be a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between*

(1) *elements of the Weyl group W_Q;*
(2) *torsion classes in $mod(A)$*

by mapping a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ to the torsion class $gen(I_w := I_{i_1} \cdots I_{i_p})$.

Now we are able to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A = A_Q$ be a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between*

(1) *elements of the Weyl group W_Q;*
(2) *equivalence classes of weakly homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$.*

Moreover, if $F_w : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is a weakly homological embedding corresponding to an element w in W_Q, then the algebra B is Morita equivalent to A/I_v for some element v in W_Q with $l(wv) = l(w) + l(v)$ and such that the ideal I_v is idempotent. In particular, B is Morita equivalent to a direct product of preprojective algebras of Dynkin type.

Proof. Let w be an element of W_Q with corresponding torsion class $gen(I_w)$. We consider the $gen(I_w)$-approximation sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} T_0 \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where the map ϕ is chosen minimal, i.e., every map $h \in End_A(T_0)$ fulfilling $h \circ \phi = \phi$ is already an isomorphism. Using Theorem 4.1 and [MS, Corollary 3.11], it follows that the assignment $w \mapsto gen(I_w) \cap T_1^\circ$ yields a bijection between elements of W_Q and functorially finite wide subcategories of $mod(A)$, where $T_1^\circ := \{X \in mod(A) \mid Hom_A(T_1, X) = 0\}$. Therefore, we can use Proposition 3.2 to show the wanted correspondence between elements of the Weyl group and equivalence classes of weakly homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$.

Next, we consider the algebra B occurring in $F_w : mod(B) \to mod(A)$. Note that, associated to $w \in W_Q$, we obtain two torsion pairs, namely $(gen(I_w), I_w^\circ)$ and $(gen(T_1), T_1^\circ)$. Using Theorem 4.1, there is some $u \in W_Q$ such that $gen(T_1) = gen(I_u)$. Consequently, keeping in mind the above assignments, the functor F_w induces an equivalence

$$mod(B) \cong gen(I_w) \cap I_u^\circ.$$

Since the torsion class $gen(I_u)$ embeds into $gen(I_w)$, there is some $v \in W_Q$ such that $u = wv$ and $l(u) = l(w) + l(v)$. A dual version of this statement can be found in [L, Section 5.2]. In fact, observe that the torsion class C_w in [L] coincides with the $\mathbb{K}$-dual of $I_{w^{-1}}^\circ$ in our notation (see also [BKT, Example 5.6.(iii)-(iv)]). Therefore, by [BKT, Proposition 5.16 and Example 5.13], we

obtain an equivalence of categories

$$gen(I_w) \cap I_u^\circ \xrightarrow{Hom_A(I_w,-)} I_v^\circ = sub(A/I_v).$$

Moreover, since the module I_w is Ext-projective in $gen(I_w)$ (compare [Mi, Theorem 2.2]), the above functor $Hom_A(I_w,-)$ is exact. It follows that composition of F_w and $Hom_A(I_w,-)$ yields an equivalence $mod(B) \cong sub(A/I_v)$ that preserves exact sequences. Consequently, $sub(A/I_v)$ is also closed for quotients in $mod(A)$ and, thus, it is equivalent to $mod(A/I_v)$. This proves that the algebra B is Morita equivalent to A/I_v and that both algebras are necessarily self-injective.

It remains to show that the ideal I_v is idempotent and, therefore, B is Morita equivalent to a direct product of preprojective algebras of Dynkin type. Since $sub(A/I_v)$ is a torsion-free class in $mod(A)$, it is, in particular, closed for extensions and, therefore (see above), it is a functorially finite and wide subcategory of $mod(A)$. Using Proposition 3.2, it follows that

$$0 = Tor_1^A(A/I_v, A/I_v) = I_v/I_v^2.$$

Thus, there is some idempotent $e \in A$ such that $I_v = AeA$. Now the claim follows by observing that every idempotent quotient of A (obtained by deleting certain vertices in the quiver of the underlying bound path algebra) is again a direct product of preprojective algebras. □

Remark 4.3. Note that, for a preprojective algebra $A = A_Q$ of Dynkin type and an element v in W_Q, in general, the ideal I_v is not idempotent and the quotient A/I_v is not isomorphic to a direct product of preprojective algebras. In fact, the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 4.2 show that the ideal I_v is idempotent if and only if the algebra A/I_v is self-injective.

We illustrate Theorem 4.2 in the following example.

Example 4.4. Let A be the preprojective algebra of type $\mathcal{A}_3$ ($1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow 3$). Note that A is representation-finite and its Auslander-Reiten quiver is given by



The Weyl group $W_{\mathcal{A}_3}$ is known to be isomorphic to the symmetric group Σ_4. An isomorphism is, for example, given by the assignment $s_1 \mapsto (34)$, $s_2 \mapsto (23)$ and $s_3 \mapsto (12)$. The following table lists all elements of the Weyl group, their associated torsion classes in $mod(A)$ and their corresponding equivalence classes of weakly homological embeddings $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ (indicated by the essential image of F). Finally, it includes a representative of the Morita class of B.

elements of Σ_4	**torsion classes**	**wide subcategories**	**Morita class**
1_{Σ_4}	$mod(A)$	$mod(A)$	type $\mathcal{A}_3$
(12)	$gen(P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus M_{21})$	$add(P_1 \oplus S_1 \oplus W \oplus M_{23})$	type $\mathcal{A}_2$
(13)	$gen(P_1 \oplus M_{12} \oplus S_1)$	$add(P_1)$	$\mathbb{K}$
(14)	$add(S_2)$	$add(S_2)$	$\mathbb{K}$
(23)	$gen(P_1 \oplus P_3 \oplus M)$	$add(M_{12} \oplus M_{32})$	$\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$
(24)	$gen(P_3 \oplus M_{32} \oplus S_3)$	$add(P_3)$	$\mathbb{K}$
(34)	$gen(P_3 \oplus P_2 \oplus M_{23})$	$add(P_3 \oplus S_3 \oplus M_{21} \oplus W)$	type $\mathcal{A}_2$
$(12)(34)$	$gen(P_2 \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{23})$	$add(W)$	$\mathbb{K}$
$(13)(24)$	$add(S_1 \oplus S_3)$	$add(S_1 \oplus S_3)$	$\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$
$(14)(23)$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	0
(123)	$gen(P_1 \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{12})$	$add(P_1 \oplus S_2)$	$\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$
(124)	$gen(M_{21} \oplus S_2)$	$add(M_{21})$	$\mathbb{K}$
(132)	$gen(P_1 \oplus M \oplus S_1)$	$add(P_1 \oplus S_3 \oplus M_{12} \oplus M)$	type $\mathcal{A}_2$
(134)	$gen(M_{12} \oplus S_1)$	$add(M_{12})$	$\mathbb{K}$
(142)	$gen(M_{32} \oplus S_3)$	$add(M_{32})$	$\mathbb{K}$
(143)	$gen(M_{23} \oplus S_2)$	$add(M_{23})$	$\mathbb{K}$
(234)	$gen(P_3 \oplus S_3 \oplus M)$	$add(P_3 \oplus S_1 \oplus M_{32} \oplus M)$	type $\mathcal{A}_2$
(243)	$gen(P_3 \oplus M_{23} \oplus M_{32})$	$add(P_3 \oplus S_2)$	$\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$
(1234)	$gen(M_{12} \oplus M_{21})$	$add(S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus M_{12} \oplus M_{21})$	type $\mathcal{A}_2$
(1243)	$gen(M_{21} \oplus M_{23} \oplus S_2)$	$add(M_{21} \oplus M_{23})$	$\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$
(1324)	$add(S_1)$	$add(S_1)$	$\mathbb{K}$
(1342)	$gen(M \oplus S_1 \oplus S_3)$	$add(M)$	$\mathbb{K}$
(1423)	$add(S_3)$	$add(S_3)$	$\mathbb{K}$
(1432)	$gen(M_{32} \oplus M_{23})$	$add(S_2 \oplus S_3 \oplus M_{32} \oplus M_{23})$	type $\mathcal{A}_2$

Remark 4.5. The bijection established in Theorem 4.2 can be put into a larger context by comparing it to further classification results in which the Weyl group W_Q (Q Dynkin) plays a prominent role (see [Mi, Theorem 4.1]). It was shown in [ORT] that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of W_Q and the additive quotient-closed subcategories of $mod(\mathbb{K}Q)$. The bijection is given by mapping an element w in W_Q to the full subcategory $add(\Theta(I_w))$ of $mod(\mathbb{K}Q)$ where

$$\Theta : mod(A_Q) \to mod(\mathbb{K}Q)$$

denotes the restriction functor induced by the natural inclusion $\mathbb{K}Q \to A_Q$. Recall that Θ is always faithful and dense. It is, moreover, worth mentioning that the functor Θ is less useful when directly applied to a weakly homological embedding $F : mod(B) \to mod(A_Q)$. It turns out that the full subcategory $add(\Theta(F(B)))$ of $mod(\mathbb{K}Q)$ is, in general, neither closed for kernels or cokernels nor for extensions. Moreover, there can be non-equivalent weakly homological embeddings F and F' with $add(\Theta(F(B))) = add(\Theta(F'(B')))$ (compare Example 4.4).

Nevertheless, the functor Θ can be used to construct examples of weakly homological embeddings. Since Θ is exact, the preimage of every wide subcategory in $mod(\mathbb{K}Q)$ under Θ is also wide and, by [MS, Corollary 3.11], functorially finite in $mod(A_Q)$. Hence, there is an induced weakly homological embedding following Proposition 3.2. However, it can be checked that, in general, not all weakly homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A_Q)$ arise in this way (even if we run through all possible orientations of the quiver Q).

5. Homological embeddings and the Tachikawa conjecture

This section is an intermediate step towards the classification of homological embeddings for preprojective algebras. In fact, the ideas discussed here will be essential to prove Theorem 6.2. Throughout, A will be a self-injective algebra and we study the relationship between homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ and the Tachikawa conjecture (see [T, Section 8]).

Conjecture 5.1 (Tachikawa)**.** *Let A be a self-injective algebra and let M be a finite dimensional A-module. If $Ext^i_A(M,M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then M is projective.*

There are several affirmative answers to the conjecture. Namely, it is known to hold for

- group algebras of finite groups ([Schu, Chapter 3]);
- self-injective algebras of finite representation type ([Schu, Chapter 3]);
- symmetric algebras with radical cube zero ([Ho, Theorem 3.1]);
- local self-injective algebras with radical cube zero ([Ho, Theorem 3.4]).

Recall that an algebra A is called **periodic**, if A is a periodic A-A-bimodule with respect to the syzygy Ω. In this case, A is a self-injective algebra and also every left A-module without projective direct summands is periodic with respect to Ω.

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a self-injective algebra.*

(1) *If A is periodic, then A fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture.*
(2) *Let B be a self-injective algebra such that $\underline{mod}(B)$ is triangle equivalent to $\underline{mod}(A)$. Then B fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture if and only if so does A.*

Proof. We first show (1). Let M be an indecomposable non-projective module in $mod(A)$ and take $d > 0$ such that $\Omega^d(M) = M$. It suffices to check that $Ext^d_A(M,M) \neq 0$. But this follows from [SY, IV., Theorem 9.6] using the $\mathbb{K}$-linear isomorphism

$$0 \neq \underline{Hom}_A(M,M) = \underline{Hom}_A(\Omega^d(M),M) \cong Ext^d_A(M,M).$$

We now prove (2). Denote by $\psi : \underline{mod}(B) \to \underline{mod}(A)$ the triangle equivalence between the two stable module categories. Since statement (2) is symmetric, we only prove one implication. Assume that the algebra A fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture. Let N be an indecomposable non-projective B-module. By assumption, we know that there is some $d > 0$ such that

$$Ext^d_A(\psi(N),\psi(N)) \neq 0.$$

Using the same $\mathbb{K}$-linear isomorphism as in (1), it follows that

$$0 \neq Ext^d_A(\psi(N),\psi(N)) \cong \underline{Hom}_A(\Omega^d_A(\psi(N)),\psi(N)) \cong \underline{Hom}_B(\Omega^d_B(N),N) \cong Ext^d_B(N,N).$$

Consequently, also B fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture. □

Next, we relate the Tachikawa conjecture to the study of homological embeddings.

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be a self-injective algebra that fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture and let $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ be a fully faithful exact functor. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *F is homological;*
(2) *$F(B)$ is a projective A-module;*
(3) *$Im(F)$ is closed under syzygies in $mod(A)$ ($X \in Im(F) \Rightarrow \Omega^s_A(X) \in Im(F)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$);*

(4) *B is a self-injective algebra and F induces a fully faithful triangle functor F_Δ making the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} mod(B) & \xrightarrow{F} & mod(A) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_B}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_A} \\ \underline{mod}(B) & \xrightarrow{F_\Delta} & \underline{mod}(A) \end{array}$$

In particular, $\pi_A(Im(F))$ is a triangulated subcategory of $\underline{mod}(A)$.

Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, then also B fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture.

Proof. First assume that (1) holds. Since F is homological, we know that

$$Ext^i_A(F(B),F(B)) \cong Ext^i_B(B,B) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. Consequently, by assumption on A, the module $F(B)$ is projective. Next, note that if $F(B)$ is a projective A-module, it is also an injective A-module. In particular, since F is fully faithful and exact, B is an injective B-module and, hence, the algebra B is self-injective. Moreover, it follows that condition (2) is equivalent to stating that the category $Im(F)$ is closed under taking projective covers and injective envelopes in $mod(A)$. Since $Im(F)$ is also closed for kernels, cokernels and extensions in $mod(A)$, (2) is equivalent to (3). In particular, computing Ext-groups in $Im(F)$ coincides with computing Ext-groups in $mod(A)$ and, thus, we obtain back (1). Furthermore, observe that the diagram of categories in (4) can only commute, if $F(B)$ is projective. Hence, (4) implies (2). Now assume that (1)-(3) hold. We have to show (4). We define the functor F_Δ to be F on objects and to map a morphism $\pi_B(g) \in \underline{mod}(B)$ to $\pi_A(F(g)) \in \underline{mod}(A)$. First of all, F_Δ is a well-defined functor, since, by (2), every morphism of B-modules that factors through a projective B-module is mapped to a morphism of A-modules that factors through a projective A-module in $add(F(B))$. Moreover, F_Δ is full, since so is F. Next, we check that F_Δ is faithful. Let $F(g) : F(X) \to F(Y)$ be a morphism in $mod(A)$ that factors through a projective A-module P

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(Y) \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow_{h} & \\ & P. & \end{array}$$

Then the map h factors through the minimal left $Im(F)$-approximation $P \to F(C_P)$ for some C_P in $mod(B)$. Note that the minimality of the approximation implies that C_P is a projective B-module. Consequently, the map g is zero in $\underline{mod}(B)$. Finally, F_Δ is a triangle functor, since, by (2) and (3), F induces a natural isomorphism $\Omega_B \cong \Omega_A$.

It remains to check that also B fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture. Consider a B-module X with $Ext^i_B(X,X) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Since F is homological and A fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture, $F(X)$ is a projective A-module and, thus, X must be a projective B-module. □

In general, it seems that self-injective algebras do not admit many homological embeddings. The next lemma points in this direction. Recall that a two-sided idempotent ideal I of A is called **stratifying**, if restriction induces a homological embedding $mod(A/I) \to mod(A)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a connected self-injective algebra fulfilling the Tachikawa conjecture and let $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ be a homological embedding such that $Im(F)$ is closed for quotients. Then F is either zero or an equivalence. In particular, all stratifying ideals of A are trivial.*

Proof. By Remark 3.3(3), the functor F is equivalent to the restriction functor $mod(A/I) \to mod(A)$ for some stratifying ideal $I \lhd A$. Let us assume that F is not zero, i.e., $I \neq A$. By Proposition 5.3, the A-module A/I is projective, and we get a decomposition of the regular module A into $I \oplus A/I$. Since the algebra A is self-injective (every arrow of the underlying quiver is part of an oriented cycle) and $Hom_A(I, A/I) = 0$, it follows that also $Hom_A(A/I, I) = 0$. Consequently, A splits as an algebra into the direct product of $End_A(I)$ and A/I. By assumption, this implies that I is zero and, thus, F is an equivalence. □

Remark 5.5. Note that the lack of stratifying ideals for an algebra A or, more generally, the absence of homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ is related to the notion of derived simplicity. Recall that A is said to be **derived simple**, if its derived category does not appear as the middle term of a non-trivial recollement of derived module categories (see [AKLY] for details). It is well-known that every stratifying ideal $I = AeA$ of A with $e = e^2 \in A$ induces a recollement

$$\mathcal{D}(A/AeA) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}(A) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}(eAe)$$

where $\mathcal{D}(A)$ denotes the derived category of all (not necessarily finite dimensional) left A-modules. In particular, an algebra A with a non-trivial stratifying ideal is not derived simple. More generally, most examples of recollements of derived module categories having $\mathcal{D}(A)$ as middle term do arise from homological embeddings $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ by first extending F to a functor between the category of all B-modules and the category of all A-modules and then deriving it.

In [LY], it was shown that blocks of group algebras are derived simple. Motivated by this result, we provide a classification of the homological embeddings for such algebras. Recall that an algebra A is called **symmetric**, if A is isomorphic to $Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(A, \mathbb{K})$ as an A-A-bimodule and A is called **weakly symmetric**, if $top(P) \cong soc(P)$ for all indecomposable projective modules P. Note that symmetric algebras are weakly symmetric and weakly symmetric algebras are self-injective.

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be a connected weakly symmetric algebra fulfilling the Tachikawa conjecture (e.g., take A to be the block of a group algebra) and let $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ be a homological embedding. Then F is either zero or an equivalence.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that A is basic and, thus, given as a bound path algebra $\mathbb{K}Q/I$. If we assume F to be non-zero, by Proposition 5.3, there is an indecomposable projective A-module P that lies in $Im(F)$. Since A is weakly symmetric, via a top-to-socle factorisation, we conclude that also $rad(P)$ belongs to $Im(F)$. Say P is given by P_i for $i \in Q_0$. Again by Proposition 5.3, the projective A-cover of $rad(P_i)$ lies in $Im(F)$ and, thus, so do all the P_j for $i \to j$ being an arrow in Q_1. Now we repeat the argument with all such P_j. Since A is connected and self-injective (every arrow in Q_1 is part of an oriented cycle), after finitely many steps, we conclude that $Im(F)$ contains the regular module A. Thus, F is an equivalence. □

Note that, contrary to the case of group algebras, in general, derived simple self-injective algebras can admit many non-trivial homological embeddings as discussed in the following example.

Example 5.7. Let Q be an oriented cycle with $n > 1$ vertices and consider the self-injective Nakayama algebra $A = \mathbb{K}Q/R^h$ with $n \mid h$ and where R denotes the arrow ideal of $\mathbb{K}Q$. It is not hard to check that for every bounded complex $C^\bullet$ of indecomposable projective A-modules

$$\ldots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow P^{-m} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} P^0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ldots$$

with $d \neq 0$ and d not an isomorphism, we have $Hom_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(C^\bullet, C^\bullet[-1]) \neq 0$. A non-trivial map $C^\bullet \to C^\bullet[-1]$ in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ can be constructed using the isomorphism of A-modules $ker(d) \cong coker(d)$. Thus, every compact object $C^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ with $Hom_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(C^\bullet, C^\bullet[i]) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ is of the form

$$\ldots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ldots$$

where P denotes a projective A-module. Using [LY, Proposition 4.1] and [AKLY, Lemma 2.9], it follows that A is derived simple.

On the other hand, there are many different equivalence classes of non-zero homological embeddings $mod(B) \to mod(A)$ (see [M, Theorem 5.14]). For example, if $n = h$, their number is given by $2^n - 1$. For a concrete example take $n = h = 3$. Then A is defined by the quiver



with respect to the relations $\gamma\beta\alpha = \beta\alpha\gamma = \alpha\gamma\beta = 0$. Note that there are nine different isomorphism classes of indecomposable A-modules. The non-zero homological embeddings are, up to equivalence, determined by the following wide subcategories of $mod(A)$:

$$\begin{gathered} add(P_1), add(P_2), add(P_3), \\ add(P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus S_1 \oplus P_2/rad^2(P_2)), \\ add(P_1 \oplus P_3 \oplus S_3 \oplus P_1/rad^2(P_1)), \\ add(P_2 \oplus P_3 \oplus S_2 \oplus P_3/rad^2(P_3)), \\ mod(A). \end{gathered}$$

6. Homological embeddings for preprojective algebras

We are now able to complement Theorem 4.2 of Section 4 by classifying the homological embeddings for preprojective algebras of Dynkin type. First, recall that for every algebra there are two equivalence classes of trivial homological embeddings, namely those for which the given functor is zero or an equivalence. If A is a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type, these classes correspond, respectively, to the longest element and the identity element of the associated Weyl group.

Example 6.1. Let A be a preprojective algebra of type $\mathcal{A}_n$ ($1 \text{ —— } 2 \text{ —— } \ldots \text{ —— } n$) for $n \geq 2$ and let P be the indecomposable projective A-module associated to vertex 1. In particular, we have $End_A(P) \cong \mathbb{K}$. Consequently, $add(P)$ is a functorially finite and wide subcategory of $mod(A)$ and the associated weakly homological embedding $F : mod(M_n(\mathbb{K})) \to mod(A)$ is homological (see Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 5.3). Moreover, following Section 4, there is a torsion class in $mod(A)$ corresponding to F (the smallest torsion class containing P) which is given by $gen(I_w)$ for

$$w := s_n(s_{n-1}s_n)\cdots(s_2s_3\cdots s_n).$$

To see this, first note that P is a direct summand of the A-module I_w. Conversely, it suffices to observe that w is the longest Weyl group element that can be written as a product of simple reflections excluding s_1. It follows that the homological embedding F corresponds to the Weyl group element w under the bijection in Theorem 4.2. Analogously, when starting with the indecomposable projective A-module associated to vertex n, one obtains a further homological embedding that corresponds to the Weyl group element $s_{n-1}(s_{n-2}s_{n-1})\cdots(s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1})$.

Next, we classify all homological embeddings for preprojective algebras of Dynkin type.

Theorem 6.2. *Let A be a preprojective algebra of Dynkin type and $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ be a homological embedding that is neither zero nor an equivalence. Then A must be of type $\mathcal{A}_n$ ($1 \text{——} 2 \text{——} \dots \text{——} n$) for $n \geq 2$ and the algebra B is Morita equivalent to $\mathbb{K}$. In fact, for each $n \geq 2$ there are precisely two such choices for F, up to equivalence, which correspond to the Weyl group elements $s_{n-1}(s_{n-2}s_{n-1})\cdots(s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1})$ and $s_n(s_{n-1}s_n)\cdots(s_2s_3\cdots s_n)$, respectively.*

Proof. First of all, recall that A is a periodic algebra (see [SY, IV., Theorem 14.1]) and, hence, A fulfils the Tachikawa conjecture, by Lemma 5.2. Now let $F : mod(B) \to mod(A)$ be a homological embedding that is neither zero nor an equivalence. Further, suppose that $Im(F)$ contains a non-projective indecomposable A-module X. Consequently, by Proposition 5.3, $Im(F)$ contains $\Omega^s(X)$ for all integers s and, thus, using that $\underline{mod}(A)$ is 2-Calabi-Yau, it also contains $\nu(X)$, where ν denotes the Nakayama functor. In particular, the projective A-covers P^X of X and $P^{\nu(X)} = \nu(P^X)$ of $\nu(X)$ belong to $Im(F)$. Now let $P = P_i$ for $i \in Q_0$ be an indecomposable direct summand of P^X. Consider the following short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow rad(P) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow top(P) = soc(\nu(P)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since P and $\nu(P)$ belong to $Im(F)$, so does $rad(P)$, as the kernel of the induced map from P to $\nu(P)$, and $top(P)$, as the image of this map. Note that $rad(P)$ cannot have any projective direct summand, since the algebra A is self-injective. It follows that also the projective A-cover of $rad(P)$

$$P^{rad(P)} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \overline{Q}_1 \\ \alpha : i \to j}} P_j$$

and, using the same arguments as above, its Nakayama shift $\nu(P^{rad(P)})$ belong to $Im(F)$. Keeping in mind the shape of the underlying quiver $\overline{Q}$, after finitely many steps, we conclude that $Im(F)$ contains the regular module A. Therefore, F must be an equivalence, contradicting our assumption. It follows that $Im(F)$ can only contain projective A-modules. Since $Im(F)$ is closed for kernels and cokernels in $mod(A)$ and $Hom_A(P,Q) \neq 0$ for all indecomposable projective A-modules P and Q, the essential image of F must be of the form $add(P)$ for P projective with $End_A(P) \cong \mathbb{K}$. But such projectives do only exist in case A is of type $\mathcal{A}_n$. In fact, for each $n \geq 2$ there are precisely two indecomposable projective A-modules with trivial endomorphism ring which correspond to the outer vertices of $\mathcal{A}_n$. Now we can refer to Example 6.1 to complete the proof. □

REFERENCES

[AIR] Adachi, T.; Iyama, O.; Reiten, I.: τ-tilting theory, Compos. Math. 150 (2014), no. 3, 415–452.

[AKLY] Angeleri Hügel, L.; Koenig, S.; Liu, Q.; Yang, D.: Ladders and simplicity of derived module categories, preprint, arXiv:1310.3479.

[AM] Aihara, T.; Mizuno, Y.: Classifying tilting complexes over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, preprint, arXiv:1509.07387.

[BKT] Baumann, P.; Kamnitzer, J.; Tingley, P.: Affine Mirković-Vilonen polytopes, to appear in Publ. IHES, arXiv:1110.3661.

[CB] Crawley-Boevey, W.: On the exceptional fibres of Kleinian singularities, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), no. 5, 1027–1037.

[ES] Erdmann, K.; Skowroński, A.: The stable Calabi-Yau dimension of tame symmetric algebras, J. Math. Soc. Japan 58 (2006), no. 1, 97–128.

[GL] Geigle, W.; Lenzing, H.: Perpendicular categories with applications to representations and sheaves, J. Algebra 144 (1991), no. 2, 273–343.

[GLS] Geiss, C.; Leclerc, B.; Schröer, J.: Preprojective algebras and cluster algebras. Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, 253–283, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.

[GP] Gelfand, I.M.; Ponomarev, V.A.: Model algebras and representations of graphs. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 13 (1979), no. 3, 1–12. English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. 13 (1979), no. 3, 157–166 (1980).

[H] Happel, D.: Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[Ho] Hoshino, M.: Modules without self-extensions and Nakayama's conjecture, Arch. Math. (Basel) 43 (1984), no. 6, 493–500.

[I] Iyama, O.: Rejective subcategories of artin algebras and orders, preprint, arXiv:math/0311281.

[K] Kalck, M.: A remark on Leclerc's Frobenius categories, to appear in Research Perspectives CRM Barcelona.

[L] Leclerc, B.: Cluster structures on strata of flag varieties, to appear in Advances in Math., arXiv:1402.4435.

[LY] Liu, Q.; Yang, D.: Blocks of group algebras are derived simple, Math. Z. 272 (2012), no. 3-4, 913–920.

[M] Marks, F.: Universal localisations and tilting modules for finite dimensional algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), no. 7, 3053-3088.

[MS] Marks, F.; Stovicek, J.: Torsion classes, wide subcategories and localisations, preprint, arXiv:1503.04639.

[Mi] Mizuno, Y.: Classifying τ-tilting modules over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, Math. Z. 277 (2014), no. 3, 665–690.

[ORT] Oppermann, S.; Reiten, I.; Thomas, H.: Quotient closed subcategories of quiver representations, Compos. Math. 151 (2015), no. 3, 568–602.

[P] Psaroudakis, C.: Homological theory of recollements of abelian categories, J. Algebra 398 (2014), 63–110.

[R] Ringel, C.M.: The preprojective algebra of a quiver. Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996), 467–480, CMS Conf. Proc., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[Sch] Schofield, A.: Representation of rings over skew fields. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[Schu] Schulz, R.: Boundedness and periodicity of modules over QF rings, J. Algebra 101 (1986), no. 2, 450–469.

[SY] Skowroński, A.; Yamagata, K.: Frobenius algebras. I. Basic representation theory. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011.

[T] Tachikawa, H.: Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations. QF–3 and QF–1 rings. Notes by Claus Michael Ringel. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 351. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.

FREDERIK MARKS, UNIVERSITY OF STUTTGART, INSTITUTE FOR ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY, PFAFFENWALDRING 57, 70569 STUTTGART, GERMANY

E-mail address: marks@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de